PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 13DV-13821



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Robert James Lawson, et al.

42 09/8**24:**577 Serial No.:

Group No.: 2172

Examiner: Hung Q. Pham

Filed:

April 26, 2001

For:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING USER

PROFILES

Mail Stop: Amendment **Commissioner for Patents**

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is:

Amendment Transmittal which includes Certificate of Express Mail (3 apges) NO

Amendment in response to Office Action dated November 28, 2005 (2 pages) Return Postcard

STATUS

Applicant

claims small entity status. is other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Express Mail No. EV679304095US

Date: December 20, 2005

I hereby certify that the documents listed above are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop: AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Rober , Reg. No. 45,548

EXTENSION OF TERM

3.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1 apply.											
	(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)											
	(a)	7 C.F.R. 1.136 nths checked below:)										
		Extension for response within:	Other than small entity Fee	Small entity Fee (if applicable)								
		first month	\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00								
		second month	\$ 450.00	\$ 225.00								
		third month	\$ 1,020.00	\$ 510.00								
		fourth month	\$1,590.00	\$ 795.00								
		fifth month	\$2,160.00	\$1,080.00								
			Fee:	\$								
If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.												
(Check and complete the next item, if applicable)												
An extension of months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.												
Extension fee due with this request \$												
		OR										
	(b) X	Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.										
				•								

FEE FOR CLAIMS

	The fee for claims (37 (Col. 1)			(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY			
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER			HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY	PRESENT	ADDITIONAL.		ADDITIONAL		
	AMENDMENT		MINUS	PAID FOR	EXTRA	RATE FEE x \$25.00 = \$	OR	RATE FEE x \$50.00 = \$		
TOTAL INDEP.			MINUS		=	x \$100.00 = \$		x \$200.00 = \$		
	FIDO	T DD EGEN !!								
	FIKS	I PRESEN	TATION OF	MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM	+ \$180.00 = \$		+ \$360.00 = \$			
						TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$	OR	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$		
	(a)		No addi	itional fee fo	r Claims is	required				
					OR					
	(b)		Total ac	lditional fee	for claims	required \$				
				FEE	PAYMEN'	Т				
5.		Attached is a check in the sum of \$								
		Charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384 the sum of \$ A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.								
				FEE D	EFICIEN	CY				
6.	\boxtimes	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384.								
				A	ND/OR					
	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384.									
7.		Other:				pert B. Reeser, III 3. No. 45,548				
					AR	MSTRONG TEAS	DAL	E LLP		
					One	Motropoliton Cau	000 8	···it- 2600		

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600 St. Louis, MO 63102 314-621-5070

DEC 2 0 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: Robert James Lawson, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2172

Serial No. 09/842,577

Examiner: Hung Q. Pham

Filed: April 26, 2001

For: System and Method for Managing User

Profiles

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action dated October 20, 2005. In response to the election requirement set forth in the Office Action, Applicant elects for prosecution in this application all claims of Group I as identified in the Office Action. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method for providing access based on user profiles.

The restriction requirement is traversed because the inventions set out by the claims in Groups I and II clearly are related. It is believed that a thorough search and examination of either claim group would be relevant to the examination of the other group. In addition, requirements for restriction are not mandatory under 35 U.S.C. Accordingly, reconsideration of the restriction requirement is requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, all the claims now active in this application are believed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B/Re

Reg. No. 45,548

Armstrong Teasdale LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63012

(314) 621-5070